

## Scoring Criteria: Accelerating Economic Growth for Pennsylvania Agriculture (2024)

## **Review Criteria**

| Adherence to<br>Proposal<br>Requirements      | <ul> <li>Does the proposal follow the specified guidelines and instructions outlined in the RFP?</li> <li>Does it address all objectives?</li> <li>Does it meet the overall timeline and offer details on project milestones, such as draft reviews?</li> <li>Is all requested information provided accurately and completely?</li> </ul>  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Methodological<br>Soundness and<br>Innovation | <ul> <li>Is the proposed methodology sound and does it address all project objectives?</li> <li>Does the approach complement previous analyses (referenced in RFP) as applicable?</li> <li>Does the contractor incorporate innovative techniques or ideas that enhance the proposal's effectiveness and feasibility?</li> </ul>  |  |
| Team Qualifications<br>and Experience         | <ul> <li>Do team members have relevant skills and past achievements that demonstrate the ability to successfully execute the project?</li> <li>Do individuals and the team as a whole have a history of collaboration to deliver high-quality results?</li> <li>Reviewer has confidence in the expertise and track record of the proposed team to achieve desired outcomes.</li> </ul> |  |
| Cost-effectiveness                            | <ul> <li>Does the proposal demonstrate financial efficiency, meeting the budget outlined in the RFP?</li> <li>Is the proposed budget reasonable and justified with a breakdown?</li> <li>Reviewer has confidence the project can be completed within the allocated resources without compromising quality.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Overall Proposal<br>Quality                   | <ul> <li>Is the proposal comprehensive, clear, and professional?</li> <li>Is the proposal free of grammatical and technical errors?</li> <li>Does the proposal demonstrate excellent quality in terms of writing, overall presentation, and completeness in providing requested materials?</li> </ul>  |  |

## Disclaimer

All proposals received from consultants will be reviewed and evaluated by a small committee of Team PA staff and partners. The committee will recommend for selection the proposal that most closely meets the criteria listed below. Before the final selection, the committee reserves the right to request additional interviews with one or more respondents to assist in making the final award selection.

## Consultant/Firm:

| Review Criteria                            | Score<br>(1 - low to<br>10 - high) | Comments |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Adherence to Proposal<br>Requirements      |                                    |          |  |  |
| Methodological Soundness and<br>Innovation |                                    |          |  |  |
| Team Qualifications and<br>Experience      |                                    |          |  |  |
| Cost-effectiveness                         |                                    |          |  |  |
| Overall Proposal Quality                   |                                    |          |  |  |
| Total score (out of 50):                   |                                    |          |  |  |
| Overall Comments:                          |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |
| Questions:                                 |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |
|  |                                    |          |  |  |